

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,644	03/02/2004		Alan F. Rozich	PMCBIO 3.0-008	3048
530	7590	03/29/2006		EXAMINER	
•	•	ITTENBERG,	BARRY, CHESTER T		
KRUMHOLA 600 SOUTH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090				1724	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/791,644	ROZICH, ALAN F.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chester T. Barry	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Mar</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of th	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-55 are subject to restriction and/or expectation Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the expectation is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 of the oath of the oat	election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan control of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan control of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan control of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan control of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan control of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
•	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form 1 10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical form the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical form the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical formation in the certified copies of the priorical formation and the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical formation and the certified copies of t	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, 14-55, drawn to organic waste treatment method, classified in class 210, subclass 601+.
- II. Claims 12 13, drawn to a methanol fermentation process, classified in class 435, subclass 161.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a process for making ethanol from fermented plant matter is separately patentable. The subcombination has separate utility such as a bolt-on to a process other than ethanol manufacture.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,644

Art Unit: 1724

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

Page 3

reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Chester T. BARRY 1724 PRIMARY EXAMINER